1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULAR OPEN MEETING
4	Thursday, November 10, 2022
5	Springfield, Illinois
6	
7	
8	Met pursuant to notice via videoconference at
9	11:30 AM, at 527 East Capitol Avenue, Springfield,
10	Illinois.
11	
12	PRESENT:
13	CARRIE ZALEWSKI, Chairwoman (via Chicago office)
14	D. ETHAN KIMBREL, Commissioner (via Springfield office)
15	MICHAEL T. CARRIGAN, Commissioner (via Springfield office)
16	ANN MCCABE, Commissioner (via Chicago office)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	BRIDGES COURT REPORTING
22	BY: Quinn Dean Notary Public

```
1
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Under the Open Meetings Act, I
 2
    call the November 10th, 2022, Regular Open Meeting to
 3
    order. Commissioners Kimbrel and Carrigan are in
    Springfield. Commissioner McCabe and I are in Chicago.
 4
 5
    We have a quorum. We have no requests to speak.
 6
                     Moving on to our Public Utilities
 7
    Agenda, there are edits to the October 6th and October
 8
     13th, 2022, Regular Open Meeting minutes.
                                                There are
 9
    also edits to the October 13th, 2022, policy session
    minutes.
10
11
                     Are there any objections to approving
12
     the minutes as edited?
13
                     (No verbal response.)
14
                     Hearing none, the minutes are approved.
15
                     Item E-1 concerns initiation of Ameren,
16
    ComEd, Nicor, North Shore Gas's and Peoples Gas's
    reconciliation proceeding under their respective coal
17
18
    tar riders for the calendar year of 2022.
                                                The order
19
    commences the annual reconciliation proceedings.
20
                     Are there any objections to approving
21
     the order?
22
                     (No verbal response.)
```

1	Hearing none, the order is approved.
2	Item $E-2$ concerns the approval of Mt .
3	Carmel's reconciliation of revenues under fuel
4	adjustment charges. The order approves the
5	reconciliation as set in the appendix to the order,
6	finding that the costs during the reconciliation period
7	were prudently incurred.
8	Are there any objections to approving
9	the order?
10	(No verbal response.)
11	Hearing none, the order is approved.
12	Item E-3 concerns MidAmerican Energy
13	Company's certified petition for reconciliation of
14	revenues collected under electric rider tax expanse
15	revision mechanism. The order approves the
16	reconciliation as set in the appendix to the order,
17	finding that the costs during the reconciliation period
18	were prudently incurred.
19	Are there any objections to approving
20	the order?
21	(No verbal response.)
22	Hearing none, the order is approved.

1 Items E-4 through E-9 concern 2 applications for authority to install distributed 3 generation facilities in Illinois. The orders grant the certificates, finding that the applicants meet the 4 5 requirements. 6 Are there any objections to considering 7 these items together and approving the orders? 8 (No verbal response.) Hearing none, the orders are approved. 9 10 Item E-10 concerns Docket 22-0063, 11 which is Ameren's petition for approval of performance 12 and tracking metrics. Joint Solar Parties, the 13 Environmental Law and Policy Center, and Vote Solar 14 filed a joint motion for clarification of the final 15 order in this docket, which approved Ameren's metrics. The motion seeks clarification whether distributed 16 17 energy resources such as battery energy storage and 18 solar-plus-storage may be used to achieve the targets 19 of the peak load reduction metric. 20 The mandatory order clarifies that to achieve the peak load reduction targets established 21 under Performance Metric 2, Ameren may implement demand 22

1 response programs which both result in measurable peak load reductions and offset Ameren's resource adequacy 2 3 needs. This includes both existing demand-response programs and future programs which may incorporate new 4 5 technologies, including, but not limited to battery 6 energy storage and solar plus storage. 7 Are there any objections to granting 8 the motion for clarification? 9 (No verbal response.) 10 Hearing none, the motion is granted. 11 Are there any objections to approving 12 the amendatory order? 13 (No verbal response.) 14 Hearing none, the amendatory order is 15 approved. 16 Item E-11 concerns a citation against 17 Alpha Gas and Electric for failure to comply with ARES 18 net metering requirements. The order directs the 19 company to pay within 30 days of the order a penalty of 20 \$30000 and to file a compliance filing indicating proof of payment. 21 Are there any objections to approving 22

```
1
    the order?
 2.
                     (No verbal response.)
 3
                     Hearing none, the order is approved.
     Items E-12 and E-13 concern applications for
 4
 5
    certifications to install, maintain or repair electric
    vehicle charging station facilities under the Public
 6
 7
    Utilities Act. The orders grant the certificates,
 8
     finding that the applicants meet the requirements.
 9
                     Are there any objections to considering
10
     these items together and approving the orders?
11
                     (No verbal response.)
12
                     Hearing none, the orders are approved.
13
                     Item E-14 concerns ComEd's petition for
    approval of their beneficial electrification plan.
14
15
    September 2nd, 2022, Commission Staff filed a combined
16
    motion to dismiss and motion for interim order.
    Staff's motion addresses three issues within ComEd's
17
18
    proposed plan.
19
                     First, Staff moves to dismiss portions
20
    of ComEd's BE plan that Staff claims are not allowed
    under the relevant statutes, arguing that the Electric
21
22
    Vehicle Act does not authorize non-transportation
```

2.

1 | spending under the BE plan.

Second, Staff moves to dismiss parts of the BE plan that propose certain rebates, arguing that the Commission is not authorized to approve the rebates for passenger EVs or for installation and maintenance of charging stations that were specifically entrusted to the IEPA to administer.

enter an interim order to provide clarity on the statutory retail rate impact cap, which states, quote, "The retail rate impact from the development of electric vehicle infrastructure shall not exceed 1 percent per year of the total annual revenue requirement for the utility," end quote. In particular, Staff asserts that the Commission should decide now whether the 1 percent rate impact applies to the BE plan as a whole or just to specific programs.

The interim order grants Staff's motion in part, finding that, first, the EV Act language is broader than Staff's interpretation and is not limited to only transportation spending. Second, the rebate programs identified and contested by Staff are not

```
1
    within the authority of the Commission to approve and
 2
    should be removed from the BE plan. Third, the plain
 3
     language of the statute clearly states that the retail
    rate cap applies for the development of EV
 4
 5
     infrastructure only.
 6
                     Are there any comments from
 7
    Commissioners?
 8
           COMMISSIONER MCCABE:
                                 I support the PIO and will
 9
    be filing concurring opinion on rebate authority.
10
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI:
                            Thank you.
11
                     Any other comments?
12
                     (No verbal response.)
13
                     Are there any objections to approving
    the interim order?
14
15
                     (No verbal response.)
16
                     Hearing none, the interim order is
17
    approved.
                Items E-15 and E-16 concern ComEd and
18
    Ameren's proposed new Rider Coal to Solar or Rider CTS.
19
    The riders will allow to collect payments for purchase
20
    of renewable energy credits under the Illinois power
    agency act.
21
22
                     On July 21 of 2022, the Commission
```

entered the suspension orders under both dockets which 1 2 suspended the filings through including November 26th of 2022. 3 However the Commission's investigation in these dockets has not yet completed. Therefore, the 4 5 orders extend the suspension period to and including December 1st of 2022. 6 7 Are there any objections to considering 8 these items together and approving the orders? 9 (No verbal response.) 10 Hearing none, the orders are approved. 11 Item E-17 concerns the termination of 12 Lincoln Land Energy LLC's abandoned license. 13 21st of 2022, the Commission entered a citation order 14 initiating this proceeding against Lincoln Land 15 regarding the termination of its certificate of service 16 authority to operate as an agent, broker, and 17 consultant in Illinois. 18 Staff recommended the Commission 19 terminate Company's certificate unless they appeared in 20 the docket and showed cause such action is not appropriate. The Staff noted that the Company is not 21 22 in compliance with the Public Utilities Act and

1 | Commission's rules.

2. The Company appeared at the hearing and 3 presented evidence that they are now in compliance with the Public Utilities Act and the Commission's rules and 4 5 requesting to dismiss the docket. Staff, however, insists on suspending the license due to the previous 6 7 The order notes that despite the Company's violations. 8 subsequent compliance, the docket cannot be dismissed 9 and suspends the license for 6 months due to the 10 Company's past violations. 11 Are there any objections to approving 12 the order? 13 (No verbal response.) 14 Hearing none, the order is approved. 15 Item E-18 is Ameren Illinois Company's 16 analysis and report on the benefits and costs of 17 continued participation in the MISO Regional 18 Transmission Organization, or RTO. The initiating 19 order states that because Ameren shall ultimately have 20 responsibility for conducting and presenting the study, 21 Ameren should be entitled to maintain a level of 22 independence and control of the study.

1 In its motion, Staff states that 2 neither the Staff report nor initiating order calls for 3 or contemplates any party but Ameren and Staff to have any involvement in the proceeding. 4 There are no 5 disputed issues of fact or law to be resolved. 6 order simply directs Ameren to prepare and submit a 7 report in consultation with Staff. 8 The Commission agrees with Staff that 9 the initiating order directs only Staff and Ameren to 10 confer about the analysis in the report. 11 thus terminates the proceeding. Ameren must file its 12 report no later than July 21st of 2023, upon which a 13 new docketed proceeding will commence allowing 14 stakeholders to intervene and comment on the report 15 within 30 days of its submission. 16 Are there any objections to approving 17 the order? 18 (No verbal response.) 19 Hearing none, the order is approved. 20 Item E-19 concerns Ameren and Adams Electric Cooperative's joint petition for approval of 21 two residential customer releases. 22 There are no

```
1
    disputes between the parties as to the customer
              The order grants petition.
 2
    release.
 3
                     Are there any objections to approving
    the order?
 4
 5
                     (No verbal response.)
 6
                     Hearing none, the order is approved.
 7
     Item E-20 concerns MidAmerican's request for an order
 8
    authorizing the issuance and sale of $104,975,000
 9
    aggregate principal amount of long term debt.
10
    order grants the request, finding that the proposed
     issuance is not subject to the provisions of Section
11
     6-102(b) of the Act, and it's approved in accordance
12
    with Section 6-102(d) of the Act.
13
                                        The order also
14
    directs the Company to comply with part 240 reporting
15
    requirements.
16
                     Are there any objections to approving
17
    the order?
18
                     (No verbal response.)
19
                     Hearing none, the order is approved.
20
                     Items E-21 through E-23 involve
    applications for certification to install energy
21
22
    efficiency measures in Illinois. The orders grant the
```

```
1
    certificates, finding that the applicants meet the
 2
    requirements.
 3
                     Are there any objections to considering
    these items together and approving the orders?
 4
 5
                     (No verbal response.)
 6
                     Hearing none, the orders are approved.
 7
                     Moving on to our gas items, Items G-1
 8
    and G-2 concern MidAmerican's and Mt. Carmel's
 9
    purchased gas adjustment reconciliations for 2021.
10
    orders approve the reconciliations, finding that
     companies expenditures during reconciliation period
11
12
    were prudent and reasonable.
13
                     Are there any objections to considering
14
     these items together and approving the orders?
15
                     (No verbal response.)
16
                     Hearing none, the orders are approved.
17
                     Item G-3 concerns MidAmerican's
18
    reconciliation under the Tax Expense Revision Mechanism
19
    recovery adjustment, or Rider TERM. The order approves
20
    the reconciliation finding that the Company's schedules
    appropriately reconcile the revenues returned with the
21
22
     total reconciled tax adjustment amount and reflect the
```

```
1
    reconciliation in the transparent format.
 2
                     Are there any objections to approving
 3
    the order?
                     (No verbal response.)
 4
 5
                     Hearing none, the order is approved.
 6
                     Item G-4 concerns Peoples Gas'
 7
    application for a waiver of a requirement to motorize
 8
    one of its transmission pipelines, the Elwood 2
 9
    Pipeline.
                The waiver requires additional monitoring,
10
     testing, and reporting to ensure safety. Neither Staff
    nor PHMSA object to the waiver. The order grants the
11
12
    waiverr finding that it is reasonable and not
13
     inconsistent with the pipeline safety.
14
                     Are there any objections to approving
15
    the order?
16
                     (No verbal response.)
17
                     Hearing none, the order is approved.
                     Item G-5 concerns Nicor Gas' petition
18
19
    to permit automatic enrollment in paperless billing.
20
    This would require a permanent exemption from the
    requirement that customers affirmatively elect to
21
22
    receive delivery of their bills electronically.
```

1 order grants the petition, finding that it is 2 technically sound and will not compromise the Company's 3 service and will not result in net harm to consumers because Nicor will provide a means by which customers 4 5 can opt out of enrollment initially or at any later time. 6 7 Are there any objections to approving 8 the order? 9 (No verbal response.) 10 Hearing none, the order is approved. 11 Moving on to our telecommunications 12 items, Item T-1concerns an order revoking Tip Systems 13 LLC'scertificate of service authority. On September 15th of 2021, the Commission initiated a citation proceeding 14 15 against the Company for failure to maintain managerial 16 resources and abilities as required under the law. The 17 Company filed to correct the deficiencies identified by 18 the ALJ, including to maintain an authorization to 19 transact business in Illinois and failed to appear at 20 the hearing. 21 The order revokes the certificate of 22 service authority, finding that the Company failed to

```
1
    maintain managerial resources and abilities as required
 2
    by the law.
 3
                     Are there any objections to approving
    the order?
 4
 5
                     (No verbal response.)
 6
                     Hearing none, the order is approved.
 7
                     Item T-2 through T-4 concern petitions
 8
     to cancel certificates of service authority to provide
     telecommunication services in Illinois. The orders
 9
10
    grant the petitions, finding that no customers will be
     impacted by the cancellations, the public can seek
11
12
     these services from other providers, and doing so is
13
    not otherwise contrary to public interest.
14
                     Are there any objections to considering
15
     these items together and approving the orders?
16
                     (No verbal response.)
17
                     Hearing none, the orders are approved.
18
                     Item T-5 concerns Universal Telephone
19
    Assistant Corporation's petition to determine the
20
    amount and form of assistance LECs must provide to
    eligible subscribers under the Universal Telephone
21
22
    Service Assistant Program, or UTSAP, and the UTSAP's
```

```
1
    broadband internet access service program.
 2.
                     The Company also seeks -- excuse me,
 3
    the Company also asks for authorization to distribute
    up to an additional $250,000 of UTSAP funds to the
 4
 5
    charitable organization PCs for the People, which
 6
    distributes refurbished computers to qualified
 7
     low-income consumers.
 8
                     The order grants petition and sets the
 9
    amount and form of the assistance to the eligible
10
     subscribers.
                   The order also finds that the Company's
11
    program with the PCs for People is consistent with the
12
    Commission authority and authorizes the additional
13
     funding to the nonprofit, provided it satisfies certain
14
    requirements.
15
                     Are there any objections to approving
16
    the order?
17
                     (No verbal response.)
18
                     Hearing none, the order is approved.
19
                     Item E-6 concerns Easy Wireless's
20
    application for a certificate of wireless authority to
    operate as a reseller of telecommunications services.
21
22
    The order grants the certificate.
                                         The applicant
```

```
1
    commits to comply with all applicable laws and
 2.
    regulations.
 3
                     Are there any objections to approving
    the order?
 4
 5
                     (No verbal response.)
 6
                     Hearing none, the order is approved.
 7
                     Moving on to our water and sewer items,
 8
     Item W-1 concerns Illinois American Water's
 9
    reconciliation under the Variable Income Tax rider, or
    Rider VIT, for 2021.
10
                           The order approves the
    reconciliation, finding that the Company has
11
12
    appropriately reconciled its rider and that no
13
    adjustment is required for any VIT tariff districts.
14
                     Are there any objections to approving
15
    the order?
16
                     (No verbal response.)
17
                     Hearing none, the order is approved.
18
                     Item W-2 concerns an interim order
19
    related to Illinois American Water's application for a
    certificate of public convenience and necessity to
20
    provide water and wastewater service to areas in
21
22
    Champaign County and for approval of the purchase of
```

```
1
    assets of the Village of Tolono. The interim order
 2
    approves the engagement agreements for -- excuse me,
 3
    with the three appraisers who will evaluate the fair
    market value of the assets, finding the terms
 4
 5
    reasonable.
 6
                     Are there any objections to approving
 7
     the interim order?
 8
                     (No verbal response.)
                     Hearing none, the interim order is
 9
10
    approved.
11
                     We're moving now on to our miscellaneous
12
     items.
             Item M-1 concerns rulemaking amending
13
    provisions of Parts 412 and 512 of the Commission rules
14
    related to the obligations of the alternative electric
15
                         The Joint Committee on Administrative
    and gas suppliers.
16
    Rules considered proposed Parts 412 and 512
17
    amendments at its October 2022 meeting and issued a
18
    certificate of no objection. The order now adopts the
19
    proposed amendments.
20
                     Are there any objections to approving
21
    the order?
22
                     (No verbal response.)
```

1 Hearing none, the order is approved. 2. Under our petitions for hearing, Item 3 PR-1 concerns Docket 22-0063, which is Ameren's petition for approval of its performance and tracking 4 5 metrics. The Commission entered a final order 6 7 approving the metrics on September 27th, 2022. On 8 October 22nd -- excuse me, October 27th of 2022, 9 Ameren, NRDC, and the AG filed applications for 10 rehearing. The administrative law judge recommended 11 granting the hearing on the matters that have not been 12 fully litigated. 13 The Commission agrees with some of the 14 ALJs recommendations, and thus, first, denies Ameren's 15 application for rehearing in its entirety; second, 16 grants the NRDC's application for rehearing in its 17 entirety; and third, grants the AG's application for 18 rehearing on Metric 2, and denies the application on 19 the remaining issues. 20 Are there any objections to denying the Ameren application for rehearing and granting NRDC's 21 22 application for rehearing and granting the AG's

1 application for rehearing in part, with respect to only 2 Metric 2, while denying the rehearing on the remaining 3 matters? (No verbal response.) 4 5 Hearing none, the rehearing is granted 6 as specified. 7 Item PR-2 concerns Docket 22-0067, 8 which is ComEd's petition for approval of its 9 performance and tracking metrics. The Commission 10 entered a final order approving the metrics on September 27th of 2022. On October 27th of 2022, ComEd 11 12 filed an application for rehearing. The Administrative 13 Law Judge recommends granting the rehearing on matters 14 that have not been fully litigated. 15 The Commission agrees with some of the 16 recommendation and thus grants the rehearing on the 17 following matters: First, the definition of Major 18 Event Day, or MED in Metric 1; second, specifying 19 peak-load reduction incentive targets in Metric 2; and 20 third, clarifying the construct of intervenor proposed tracking metrics. 21 22 Are there any objections to granting

```
1
     ComEd's application for rehearing in part with respect
 2
     to the specified matters only and denying rehearing on
 3
     the remaining matters?
                     (No verbal response.)
 4
 5
                     Hearing none, the rehearing is granted
 6
     as specified.
 7
                     Moving on to out other business items,
 8
     Item O-1 concerns approval of batches, contracts, and
 9
     confirmations under the Illinois Adjustable Block
10
    Program.
11
                     Are there any objections to approving
12
     the program administrator's submissions?
13
                     (No verbal response.)
                     Hearing none, the submissions are
14
15
     approved.
16
                     This concludes our Public Utilities
17
    Agenda.
18
                     Judge Teague Kingsley, do we have other
19
    matters to come before the Commission today?
20
           JUDGE TEAGUE KINGSLEY:
                                    No, Madam Chair.
21
           CHAIR ZALEWSKI: Do the Commissioners have any
22
     other business to discuss?
```

```
1
                      (No verbal response.)
                      Hearing none, and without objection,
 2
     the meeting is adjourned.
 3
                                  Thanks.
 4
                       (WHEREUPON the above-entitled matter
                       was adjourned at 11:55 AM.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```